

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

LSE

July 18, 2013

してく 山 く 山 マ ふ 山 マ ふ 山 マ く 白 マ



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### 1 Introduction

・ロット 4 日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > (日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > (

## Introduction



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

#### What this talk is about?

- Security of the Windows Kernel
- Presentation of some exploits
- What changed in the security of the kernel, since Windows NT 5.1 (Windows XP)

Motivation for attacking the kernel

- Sandbox bypassing
- · Full access to everything
- The fun

#### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Basics of Windows Kernel
- 3 CVE-2011-1237
- 4 Evolution from XP to 8
- 5 CVE-2013-3660
- 6 Conclusion



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### 2 Basics of Windows Kernel

・ロット 4 日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > (日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > (

#### **Basics of Windows Kernel**





Figure 3.3 The Win32 interface DLLs and their relation to the kernel components.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- HAL : The hardware abstraction layer (hal.dll)
- "a layer of software that deals directly with your computer hardware." (msdn)
- Layer for suporting different hardware with the same software
- HalDispatchTable : holds the addresses of a few HAL routines



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel mode driver
- Introduce in NT 4.0 for performance reason
- Two parts :
  - The Graphics Device Interface (GDI)
  - The Window Manager

うせん 神 ふかく 山 くちゃ



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- User entities (Windows, menu, keyboard layout...)
- Managed by the Window Manager
- Represented by a handle
- Handle table keeps track of each user object
  - The address of the object
  - The type of the object
  - A flag
  - The owner and a wUniq value



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

- User entities (Windows, menu, keyboard layout...)
- Managed by the Window Manager
- Represented by a handle
- · Handle table keeps track of each user object
  - The address of the object
  - The type of the object
  - A flag
  - The owner and a wUniq value

## User objects

- User entities (Windows, menu, keyboard layout...)
- Managed by the Window Manager
- Represented by a handle
- · Handle table keeps track of each user object
  - The address of the object
  - The type of the object
  - A flag
  - The owner and a wUniq value





A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

Sac

#### User-Mode Callback



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- A way to communicate between kernel and user:
  - · access to some structures in user mode
  - used to support hooking
  - ...
- CBT-Hook: receive notifications from windows
- WindowProc: callback function wich processes the messages sent to a window

#### User-Mode Callback



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- A way to communicate between kernel and user:
  - · access to some structures in user mode
  - used to support hooking
  - ...
- CBT-Hook: receive notifications from windows
- WindowProc: callback function wich processes the messages sent to a window



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### 3 CVE-2011-1237

ロ > < 日 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○</li>

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another book during the creation, you cause destroy this window.
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetMindow TextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of LinkWindow, and its been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 うくの

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindowTextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of LinkWindow, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・日本・山本・山本・山本・山本・山本・山本・山本・山本

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindowTextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindowTextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

うせん 神 ふかく 山 くちゃ

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with *SetWindowTextW*. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with *SetWindowTextW*. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
  - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
  - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
  - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with *SetWindowTextW*. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
  - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows

- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function HMDestroyUnlockedObject



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows
- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function HMDestroyUnlockedObject

・ロット 4 中マット 4 中マット



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows
- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function *HMDestroyUnlockedObject*



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows
- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function HMDestroyUnlockedObject



















A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
  - HCBT\_CREATEWIND: link with the window A
  - , which is the state of the sta
  - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want.

・ロト・西ト・山下・山下・ 中・ シック



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
  - HCBT\_CREATEWND: link with the window A
  - WM\_NCHEALE: dosiroy & (Destroy/Mindow), realloc with a fake object (SetWindowTex(W on Bit
    - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want.

・ロト・西ト・山下・山下・ 中・ シック



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
  - HCBT\_CREATEWND: link with the window A
  - WM\_NCCREATE: destroy A (DestroyWindow), realloc with a fake object (SetWindowTextW on B)
  - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
  - HCBT\_CREATEWND: link with the window A
  - WM\_NCCREATE: destroy A (*DestroyWindow*), realloc with a fake object (*SetWindowTextW* on B)
  - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
  - HCBT\_CREATEWND: link with the window A
  - WM\_NCCREATE: destroy A (*DestroyWindow*), realloc with a fake object (*SetWindowTextW* on B)
  - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
  - HCBT\_CREATEWND: link with the window A
  - WM\_NCCREATE: destroy A (*DestroyWindow*), realloc with a fake object (*SetWindowTextW* on B)
  - · LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want

#### HMDestroyUnlockedObject

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

#### Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下
- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

#### Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

#### Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

#### Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

#### Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

#### Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

#### • We create a first window (U)

- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
  - In LinkWindow we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
  - when clockObj is decremented, call to HMDestroyUnlockedObject is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・山下・山下・ 山下・ しゃ



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
  - In LinkWindowske put a clockObj to 1, handler of the window.U
  - when clockObj is decremented, call to HMDestroyUnlockedObject is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
  - In LinkWindow we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
    - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
  - In *LinkWindow* we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
  - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
  - In *LinkWindow* we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
  - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
  - In *LinkWindow* we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
  - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### 4 Evolution from XP to 8

・ロット 中学・ 小川 マックション



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
    + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
    + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
    + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
    + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
  - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
    + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN

## SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
  Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register
- Possible to bypass
  - ROP
  - Store the shellcode into kernel space

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

## SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register
- Possible to bypass
  - ROP
  - Store the shellcode into kernel space

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
  Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- · Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register

- Possible to bypass
  - ROP
  - Store the shellcode into kernel space



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
  Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- · Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register
- Possible to bypass
  - ROP
  - Store the shellcode into kernel space



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
  Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- · Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register

- Possible to bypass
  - ROP
  - · Store the shellcode into kernel space



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### 5 CVE-2013-3660

・ロット 中学・ 小川 マックション



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability

#### Pathrec struct



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

```
struct _PATHRECORD {
 struct _PATHRECORD * next;
 struct _PATHRECORD * prev;
 ULONG flags;
 ULONG count;
 POINTFIX points[x];
```

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use HeavyAllocPool for allocating object but have is own implementation the free list
  - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memse
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660
#### Go to userspace

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

#### Go to userspace

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from *HeavyAllocPool*, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list
   (□) (□) (□) (□) (□) (□)



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

#### Go to userspace

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
  - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
  - After allocating from *HeavyAllocPool*, it memsets to 0
  - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
  - allocates a new pathrec
  - initialises the new (but not the next at this point
  - sets the next of previous of the new to himself

mext = new previous -> next = new previous -> next

 if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by pprFlattenRec



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
  - allocates a new pathrec
  - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
  - sets the next of previous of the new to himself

```
new->previous->next = new;
```

```
• ...
```

 if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by pprFlattenRec



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
  - allocates a new pathrec
  - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
  - sets the next of previous of the new to himself

```
new->previous->next = new;
```

```
• ...
```

• if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by *pprFlattenRec* 



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
  - allocates a new pathrec
  - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
  - · sets the next of previous of the new to himself

new->previous->next = new;

- ...
- if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by *pprFlattenRec*



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
  - allocates a new pathrec
  - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
  - · sets the next of previous of the new to himself

```
new->previous->next = new;
```

```
• ...
```

• if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by *pprFlattenRec* 

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the HALDispatchTable[1], called by NtQueryIntervalProfile and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the NtQueryIntervalProfile where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the HALDispatchTable[1], called by NtQueryIntervalProfile and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the NtQueryIntervalProfile where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the HALDispatchTable[1], called by NtQueryIntervalProfile and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the *NtQueryIntervalProfile* where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the *HALDispatchTable[1]*, called by *NtQueryIntervalProfile* and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the *NtQueryIntervalProfile* where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the *HALDispatchTable[1]*, called by *NtQueryIntervalProfile* and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the *NtQueryIntervalProfile* where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660





・ロト・西ト・山下・山下・山下・山下



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

#### 6 Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・川田・三日・日 うくぐ



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ◆

- A lot of improvements between XP and Windows 8
- · Lot of checks so exploits are really harder
- Still doable

#### Questions ?



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

# Questions ?

- Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool)
- Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Mateusz Jurvczyk (@j00ru)
- Alex Ionescu (@aionescu)
- Ivanlefou (@Ivanlef0u)